

REVIEW

The Hunter Brain Hypothesis

A Review by Claude AI for Aage Bangladesh

Abstract

The “hunter brain” is a popular label for a cluster of traits that includes restless energy, rapid shifts of attention, novelty seeking, quick decision making, and a capacity for intense absorption in rewarding work. The label comes from the hunter-farmer hypothesis, proposed by Thom Hartmann in 1993, which holds that traits now diagnosed as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) served mobile foragers well and became liabilities after the spread of agriculture. This review evaluates the hypothesis against evidence from population genetics, foraging experiments, ancient DNA, and clinical research, and then separates the hunter profile from ADHD and from other states that produce a similar feeling of constant drive. The evidence supports a limited version of the idea. ADHD-linked traits can pay off in some environments, as seen in nomadic Ariaal men carrying the *DRD4* 7-repeat allele and in adults with elevated ADHD symptoms who harvested resources more efficiently in a patch-foraging task. Other findings weaken the specific narrative: a behavioral test in schoolchildren found no foraging advantage, and ancient European genomes indicate that ADHD-associated alleles were selected against for tens of thousands of years before farming began. The review proposes that the hunter profile is best treated as a region of a multidimensional trait space, with ADHD marking the part of that space where deficits in self-regulation produce impairment. Hyperthymic temperament, hypomania, anxiety-related hyperarousal, hyperthyroidism, and the Type A behavior pattern are compared on onset, course, sleep, task completion, and objective markers.

Keywords: ADHD; hunter-farmer hypothesis; evolutionary mismatch; *DRD4*; explore-exploit trade-off; hyperthymic temperament; hypomania; differential diagnosis

1. Introduction

Few ideas about the mind have traveled as far beyond academic journals as the claim that some people have a “hunter brain.” The phrase appears in popular books, podcasts, and social media, usually attached to a person who feels permanently switched on: restless, quick-thinking, easily bored by routine, and able to run many projects at once. For many readers the label offers a welcome reinterpretation of traits that classrooms and offices tend to penalize [1].

Behind the popular phrase sits a serious scientific puzzle. ADHD affects roughly 5% of children worldwide [2] and about 2.5% of adults [3]. Twin studies place its heritability near 74% [4], and it carries measurable harm, including a roughly doubled mortality rate in a Danish national cohort, driven mainly by accidents [5]. Conditions that are common, heritable, and costly are hard to explain in evolutionary terms, because natural selection should reduce the frequency of alleles that raise risk [6]. Adaptive accounts of ADHD, of which the hunter-farmer hypothesis is the best known, try to resolve the puzzle by proposing that the relevant traits carried benefits in ancestral environments.

This review first traces the origins of the hunter-farmer hypothesis and evaluates the empirical evidence for it, including recent genomic and behavioral studies. It then turns to a practical problem that the popular label creates. People who describe themselves as hunters often report a mixture of features that clinicians would assign to separate constructs, including ADHD, hyperthymic temperament, hypomania, anxiety, and thyroid overactivity. These constructs differ in course, risk, and appropriate response, so sorting them apart has real consequences.

2. Origins of the Hunter-Farmer Hypothesis

The hypothesis was introduced by the author and broadcaster Thom Hartmann in his 1993 book *Attention Deficit Disorder: A Different Perception* [1]. Writing for a general audience, Hartmann reinterpreted the core features of ADHD as parts of a hunting mentality. Distractibility became continuous scanning of the surroundings, impulsivity became readiness to act without lengthy deliberation, and restlessness and risk taking became assets in the pursuit of game across unpredictable terrain. On this account, the spread of

agriculture beginning some 10,000 to 12,000 years ago rewarded a different temperament, one suited to patient, repetitive, schedule-bound labor. People who retained the older profile became “hunters in a farmer’s world,” and modern schooling and office work have deepened the mismatch.

Researchers proposed related adaptive accounts during the same decade. Shelley-Tremblay and Rosén argued that the persistence of ADHD across generations implied that its traits had once conferred survival benefits [7]. Jensen and colleagues proposed a “response-ready” model in which hypervigilance, rapid responding, and high motor activity are adaptive in dangerous, unpredictable settings and become disorders mainly when the setting changes [8]. Williams and Taylor later suggested that alleles promoting hyperactivity and impulsivity could be maintained by frequency-dependent selection, since a minority of exploratory individuals can benefit an otherwise cautious group [9]. Arcos-Burgos and Acosta described ADHD as an anachronism of once-useful gene variants [10], and Le Cunff has recently recast distractibility and impulsivity as the mismatched expression of high trait curiosity [11].

All of these proposals rely on the logic of evolutionary mismatch, in which a trait shaped for one environment becomes costly in another [12]. Under the harmful dysfunction analysis, a condition counts as a mental disorder only when harm results from the failure of an internal mechanism to perform its evolved function [13]. A pure mismatch involves harm without such a failure and would fall outside the strict definition of disorder, which explains much of the hypothesis’s appeal to people who regard their traits as strengths. The mismatch logic also makes the hypothesis testable, because it predicts patterns in genes, behavior, and history.

3. Evidence Bearing on the Hypothesis

3.1 Dopamine receptor genetics and human migration

Early empirical interest centered on the dopamine D4 receptor gene (*DRD4*), which contains a 48-base-pair variable number tandem repeat in exon III. The 7-repeat (7R) allele is associated with reduced receptor function [14] and with ADHD, with meta-analytic odds ratios of about 1.9 in case-control studies and 1.4 in family-based studies [15] and a smaller pooled effect in later syntheses [16]. Sequence analyses indicate that the 7R allele is young relative to the common 4-repeat allele and rose in frequency under positive selection [17,18], a pattern linked by commentators to the expansion of modern humans and to social competition [19]. Long *DRD4* alleles are more common in populations whose ancestors migrated farther [20], an association that survives correction for neutral population structure [21].

The most direct test of hunter-farmer logic comes from the Ariaal of northern Kenya, a pastoralist people among whom some communities remain nomadic while others have recently settled. Eisenberg and colleagues genotyped 152 men, 65 nomadic and 87 settled. The 7R allele was equally common in both groups, yet carriers had higher body mass index than non-carriers in the nomadic community and lower body mass index in the settled one, an interaction consistent with a behavioral advantage that depends on the environment [14].

These findings are suggestive and fragile. The Ariaal are herders, the sample was small, and body mass index is a distant proxy for fitness. The link between *DRD4* and the traits thought to carry the advantage is also weak. Early reports associating the exon III repeat with novelty seeking [22,23] were not confirmed by a later meta-analysis of adult samples, which found no association for the repeat and only a small, publication-bias-affected association for a promoter variant [24]. Children with ADHD who carry the 7R allele can perform normally on neuropsychological tests of attention [25], which shows how loosely a single variant maps onto the clinical syndrome. The largest genome-wide analysis to date, of 38,691 cases and 186,843 controls, identified 27 significant loci and estimated that 84-98% of ADHD-influencing variants are shared with other psychiatric disorders [26]. An adaptive story built on one gene can capture only a small part of this polygenic architecture.

3.2 Behavioral evidence from foraging tasks

Foraging theory gives the hunter metaphor a quantitative form. In a patchy environment an animal must decide when to abandon a depleting resource and travel to a new one, a trade-off between exploiting what is known and exploring what is not [27,28]. The marginal value theorem predicts that an efficient forager leaves a patch once its rate of return falls to the average rate available in the environment. Human participants typically stay too long.

In a preregistered online study, Barack and colleagues asked 457 adults to harvest virtual berries from bushes whose yield declined with each pick, with travel delays of 1 or 5 seconds between bushes [29]. Participants who screened positive on an adult ADHD self-report scale left bushes sooner and earned higher reward rates than those who screened negative. Because the sample as a whole overstayed, earlier departure moved these participants closer to the optimal policy. The result agrees with an earlier report linking weaker attentional skills to more exploratory foraging [30].

A laboratory test in children produced a different result. Arildskov and colleagues asked 202 Danish schoolchildren with no, mild, moderate, or severe ADHD traits to search an unfamiliar room for hidden coins within one minute, a task built around the time-critical, novel conditions under which response-ready and hunter accounts predict an advantage. Mean coin counts were nearly identical across groups, and continuous trait scores were unrelated to performance [31]. ADHD traits conferred neither an advantage nor a disadvantage.

The behavioral record therefore shows that ADHD-linked traits can shift the balance between exploration and exploitation, and that the shift can pay off in particular environments. The tasks studied so far are brief and nearly costless, whereas real foraging demands sustained effort, skill, and physical risk. The strongest version of the hunter claim, that people with ADHD traits would have been superior providers in ancestral conditions, has not been tested in any population that forages for a living.

3.3 Ancient DNA and the timing of selection

Ancient genomes make it possible to check the timeline that the hypothesis assumes. If ADHD-associated alleles were favored among hunter-gatherers and became costly only with farming, their frequency should have been stable or rising before the Neolithic and falling afterward, and individuals with more hunter-gatherer ancestry should carry more risk alleles.

Esteller-Cucala and colleagues tested these predictions using risk alleles from a genome-wide study of about 20,000 cases and 35,000 controls, scored in European genomes dating from roughly 45,000 to 2,500 years ago [32]. The frequency of ADHD-associated alleles declined steadily across the whole period, and modeling indicated selection against them from about 45,000 to 10,000 years ago, long before agriculture. Neolithic individuals with more hunter-gatherer ancestry did not carry more risk alleles; the raw association ran in the opposite direction and disappeared once sample age was controlled. The decline could not be explained by admixture with African populations, and alleles introgressed from Neanderthals were, if anything, enriched for ADHD risk. A signal of continuing selection against risk alleles over the last 2,000 to 3,000 years was also detected in a British sample.

The authors concluded that their data remain compatible with a general mismatch account while calling into question the Neolithic transition as the turning point [32]. The alleles appear to have been costly for a very long time, including the long era in which all humans foraged. This is the most serious empirical challenge to the specific hunter-farmer narrative, although the reliance on European samples and on risk alleles defined in modern cohorts limits how far the result can be generalized.

3.4 Strengths and costs in modern settings

A separate literature asks whether ADHD-linked traits carry advantages today, whatever their evolutionary history. Adults with more ADHD symptoms report more frequent hyperfocus, a state of intense and prolonged absorption in engaging activities [33], although the construct remains loosely defined and is reported in other conditions as well [34]. A review of creativity research found links between ADHD and

divergent thinking or real-world creative achievement in some studies, with inconsistent results overall [35]. Interviews with successful adults with ADHD describe curiosity, energy, divergent thinking, and resilience as valued strengths [36], and survey data show that many adults with ADHD recognize such traits in themselves [37]. ADHD-like behavior is associated with entrepreneurial intentions [38], and entrepreneurs with ADHD describe impulsivity and hyperfocus as assets in starting ventures [39,40].

These strengths coexist with substantial costs. The international consensus statement of the World Federation of ADHD, which assembled 208 evidence-based conclusions, documents elevated risks of injury, educational and occupational underachievement, substance use, and other adverse outcomes [41]. In a cohort of 1.92 million Danes, the mortality rate ratio associated with ADHD was 2.07 [5], and long-term follow-up of hyperactive children shows persistent impairment across major life activities in young adulthood [42].

Context shapes who receives the diagnosis. Children who are the youngest in their school year are more likely to be diagnosed and medicated than their oldest classmates [43,44], evidence that classroom demands influence when ordinary developmental variation is read as disorder. Prevalence estimates, however, vary mainly with study methods and comparatively little with geography [2], which is hard to reconcile with the view that ADHD is an artifact of particular modern cultures. Sonuga-Barke and Thapar note that ADHD traits behave as population dimensions with no clear boundary and discuss how the neurodiversity concept directs attention toward adapting schools and workplaces [45]. A continuum view also implies that impairment at the extreme end is real and deserves treatment.

4. Critiques of the Hunter Framing

Beyond the specific empirical tests, the hypothesis faces conceptual objections. Goldstein and Barkley characterized adaptive accounts of ADHD as “just so” stories [46]. Critics point out that successful hunting depends on patience, stealth, sustained attention, planning, and cooperation, capacities that ADHD tends to impair. Ethnographic accounts of persistence hunting, in which trackers follow an animal for hours while interpreting faint signs, lend weight to this objection [47]. Forager subsistence also involves gathering, food processing, tool making, and extensive food sharing [48], and these activities do not obviously favor restless impulsivity.

The evidence base is also thin. A systematic review that screened 790 records found only three studies testing natural selection-based accounts of ADHD and concluded that these accounts remained hypothetical [49]. Studies published since then point in different directions, as reviewed above, and a recent critical appraisal concluded that evolutionary mismatch only partly explains the presence of ADHD in contemporary society [50].

The framing also has clinical consequences. Its appeal rests partly on the suggestion that impairment is purely a product of environment. For a person whose traits cause little harm, this may be accurate and helpful, and psychiatrists introduced to evolutionary explanations report that such framings can reduce stigma [51]. For a person with significant impairment, the same framing can delay assessment of a treatable condition with documented risks [41]. The hypothesis also presumes a single, coherent hunter phenotype. The next sections show that the traits usually bundled under that label can be separated and map onto different constructs.

5. Distinguishing the Hunter Profile from ADHD

The hunter label and the ADHD diagnosis are often used interchangeably, yet they are different kinds of description. The hunter label is an evolutionary narrative attached to a trait profile, with no threshold, no impairment requirement, and no exclusion rules. ADHD is a clinical diagnosis. Under DSM-5-TR, an adult must show at least five persistent symptoms of inattention or of hyperactivity-impulsivity, with several symptoms present before age 12, evident in two or more settings, clearly interfering with social, academic, or occupational functioning, and not better explained by another mental disorder [52].

Twin data indicate that clinical ADHD is the extreme of a continuous trait [53], so the two concepts overlap within a shared trait space. Many people combine high energy, strong novelty seeking, and quick shifts of attention without meeting diagnostic criteria, because these traits do not impair them.

The feature that most reliably separates the clinical syndrome from an energetic temperament is self-regulation. Influential models place deficits in behavioral inhibition and executive function at the core of ADHD [54]. Meta-analysis confirms moderate average weaknesses in response inhibition, working memory, planning, and vigilance, and also shows that such deficits are absent in a substantial fraction of people with the diagnosis [55,56]. In daily life these deficits appear as the inattentive symptoms listed in the diagnostic criteria, including failure to follow through on tasks, difficulty organizing sequential activities, losing necessary items, and avoidance of sustained mental effort [52]. A person who starts many projects and reliably completes them to a high standard and on deadline shows the reverse of this pattern on the dimension that defines the disorder. In such a person, high activity and multitasking are more plausibly explained by energy and motivation.

This inference has limits. High intellectual ability can mask executive deficits in adults with ADHD, so strong academic or professional performance does not by itself exclude the diagnosis [57]. Compensation often carries hidden costs, such as very long hours, dependence on deadline pressure, and exhaustion, which a careful clinical history can reveal. Hyperfocus complicates the picture further, since adults with ADHD can sustain intense effort on tasks they find rewarding [33]. The decisive questions are whether completion extends to tasks that are necessary but dull, and whether it holds across settings and across the lifespan.

6. Related High-Energy States

Several other constructs produce a subjective sense of constant drive. Their distinguishing features are summarized in Table 1.

6.1 Hyperthymic temperament

The construct that most closely matches the popular image of a tireless, productive hunter may be hyperthymic temperament, a lifelong disposition that builds on Kraepelin's description of a manic temperament and was operationalized by Akiskal and colleagues [58,59]. It is characterized by high energy, optimism, self-assurance, talkativeness, broad interests, a tendency toward overinvolvement, and habitually short sleep, beginning early in life and remaining stable [60]. The temperament is measured with the TEMPS-A questionnaire [59] and is not a DSM-5-TR diagnosis. In a nationally representative Lebanese sample of 1,320 adults, hyperthymic temperament was associated with lower risk for most mental disorders, with the exceptions of bipolar, substance use, impulse control, and separation anxiety disorders [61]. It differs from ADHD in that its defining features are elevated mood and energy, with goal pursuit usually intact. Because it lies at the mild end of the bipolar spectrum, it must be distinguished from hypomania.

6.2 Hypomania and the bipolar spectrum

DSM-5-TR defines a hypomanic episode as a distinct period of abnormally elevated, expansive, or irritable mood with increased energy, lasting at least four consecutive days, with symptoms such as decreased need for sleep, racing thoughts, pressured speech, distractibility, increased goal-directed activity, and risky behavior. The episode must be an unequivocal change from the person's usual functioning that others can observe [52]. Episodicity is therefore the defining feature. Racing thoughts and intense goal pursuit also occur in ADHD and in hyperthymic temperament, while in hypomania they arrive as a departure from baseline, usually with a reduced need for sleep that brings no fatigue [62-64]. People vulnerable to mania tend to set unusually ambitious goals and respond strongly to reward, and attaining goals can itself precipitate manic symptoms [65]. Because ADHD and bipolar disorder frequently co-occur, clinicians separate them by age of onset, the presence of discrete mood episodes, and the course of sleep and mood over time [63].

6.3 Anxiety and hyperarousal

A persistent feeling of rush can also reflect hyperarousal. Generalized anxiety disorder includes restlessness or feeling keyed up or on edge, muscle tension, and disturbed sleep [52], and anxiety disorders broadly involve sustained activation of threat-response systems [66]. The distinguishing feature is emotional tone. In anxiety, arousal is experienced as aversive and is bound to worry, anticipation of threat, and avoidance. Hyperthymic energy is typically pleasurable and oriented toward approach.

6.4 Hyperthyroidism and other physiological mimics

Several medical conditions imitate a high-drive temperament. Hyperthyroidism produces nervousness, tremor, palpitations, heat intolerance, weight loss despite increased appetite, insomnia, and hyperactivity, and it is readily detected by measuring thyroid-stimulating hormone and free thyroxine [67]. It usually develops at an identifiable point in adult life, which separates it from a lifelong temperament, although gradual onset is easily mistaken for personality. Heavy caffeine intake can produce restlessness, nervousness, insomnia, rambling thought, a rapid heartbeat, and periods of apparent inexhaustibility [52].

6.5 The Type A behavior pattern

The Type A behavior pattern described by Friedman and Rosenman combined intense striving, competitiveness, and a chronic sense of time urgency [68], and their later popular account described “polyphasic” behavior, the habit of doing or thinking about several things at once [69]. Meta-analyses of prospective studies found that the global pattern predicts coronary heart disease weakly or not at all, while anger and hostility carry measurable risk [70,71]. Like the hunter label, the Type A construct describes a behavioral style and is not a diagnosis.

Table 1. Features that separate the popular hunter profile from ADHD and related high-energy states.

Construct and status	Onset and course	Sleep	Task follow-through	Distinguishing marker and assessment
Hunter profile Popular evolutionary label; not a diagnosis	Described as lifelong	Not specified	Not specified	Self-described energy and novelty seeking; no validated measure [1]
ADHD Neurodevelopmental disorder (DSM-5-TR)	Symptoms before age 12; chronic	Not a criterion; need for sleep not reduced	Often impaired, especially for dull or unrewarding tasks	Executive dysfunction with impairment in two or more settings; clinical interview, rating scales, collateral history [52,55]
Hyperthymic temperament Affective temperament; not a DSM-5-TR diagnosis	Early in life; stable	Habitually short, without daytime fatigue	Usually intact	Stable elevated mood, energy, and confidence; TEMPS-A [59,60]
Hypomania Mood episode on the bipolar spectrum	Episodic; at least 4 days; clear change from baseline	Decreased need for sleep, new relative to baseline	Many projects started; judgment and completion vary	Change from usual self that others observe; clinical interview, mood charting [52,65]
Anxiety-related hyperarousal Anxiety disorder or subclinical anxiety	Variable; chronic or fluctuating	Difficulty falling or staying asleep	Variable; avoidance can delay work	Aversive arousal bound to worry and threat; clinical interview, validated scales [66]
Hyperthyroidism Endocrine disorder	Identifiable onset, usually in adulthood	Insomnia	May decline with fatigue and weakness	Palpitations, tremor, heat intolerance, weight loss; TSH and free T4 [67]
Type A pattern Behavioral style	Adult pattern; stable	Not a defining feature	Typically high, driven by time urgency	Time urgency, competitiveness, hostility; structured interview [68,71]

TEMPS-A, Temperament Evaluation of Memphis, Pisa, Paris and San Diego Autoquestionnaire; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; T4, thyroxine.

7. Implications and Future Directions

The evidence supports a revised version of the hunter idea. The traits bundled under the label, including a bias toward exploration, rapid attentional switching, high energy, and appetite for novelty, are real dimensions of human variation, and some can be advantageous in suitable environments [14,29]. The claim that ADHD as a clinical syndrome is a hunting adaptation stranded by agriculture is weakly supported and partly contradicted by the genomic record [32,49]. A more defensible synthesis treats the hunter profile as a region of a multidimensional trait space. ADHD occupies the part of that space where exploration and activity combine with deficits in self-regulation that produce impairment, and hyperthymic temperament occupies an adjacent region where energy and exploration combine with elevated mood and intact follow-through.

Several research priorities follow. Foraging performance should be measured in populations that forage for a living, with real returns and energy costs. Computational models of the explore-exploit trade-off [28] can replace the loose hunter metaphor with parameters estimated in individuals, which would allow the component traits to be separated empirically. Studies should measure hyperthymic temperament alongside ADHD symptoms, since the two are rarely assessed together, and should follow sleep, mood episodes, and physiological markers over time. Ancient DNA analyses need to extend beyond European samples.

For individuals, a sense of permanent drive calls for careful description before interpretation. Useful clinical questions include how long the pattern has lasted, whether it is constant or episodic, how much sleep the person needs and whether that need has changed, whether necessary but dull tasks get finished, and whether physical signs such as palpitations, tremor, heat intolerance, or weight change are present. A thyroid panel is inexpensive and settles one alternative quickly. A pattern that is lifelong, stable, pleasurable, free of daytime exhaustion, and accompanied by reliable follow-through resembles hyperthymic temperament more than ADHD, and the literature treats such a temperament as a trait that is often protective [61]. A pattern that is episodic, sleep-reducing, or accompanied by mounting risk warrants specialist assessment.

8. Conclusion

The hunter brain hypothesis has endured because it names something real. Some people are built for exploration, speed, and restless engagement, and some environments reward these qualities. Current evidence supports a modest version of the idea, in which ADHD-linked traits tilt behavior toward exploration and can pay off under specific conditions. The stronger claim, that ADHD is a hunting adaptation stranded by agriculture, rests on a handful of studies and is challenged by ancient genomes showing long-standing selection against ADHD-associated alleles. The popular label also blurs distinctions that matter. ADHD is defined by impaired self-regulation, hyperthymic temperament by stable elevated energy with intact follow-through, hypomania by episodic change, anxiety by aversive arousal, and hyperthyroidism by measurable physiology. Keeping these constructs separate lets people with high-energy profiles understand their strengths accurately and recognize the signs that call for evaluation.

References

1. Hartmann T. *Attention Deficit Disorder: A Different Perception*. California: Underwood Books; 1993.
2. Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, Biederman J, Rohde LA. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2007;164(6):942-948.
3. Simon V, Czobor P, Bálint S, Mészáros A, Bitter I. Prevalence and correlates of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2009;194(3):204-211.
4. Farone SV, Larsson H. Genetics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2019;24(4):562-575.
5. Dalsgaard S, Østergaard SD, Leckman JF, Mortensen PB, Pedersen MG. Mortality in children, adolescents, and adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a nationwide cohort study. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9983):2190-2196.
6. Keller MC, Miller G. Resolving the paradox of common, harmful, heritable mental disorders: which evolutionary genetic models work best? *Behav Brain Sci*. 2006;29(4):385-404.
7. Shelley-Tremblay JF, Rosén LA. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: an evolutionary perspective. *J Genet Psychol*. 1996;157(4):443-453.
8. Jensen PS, Mrazek D, Knapp PK, Steinberg L, Pfeiffer C, Schowalter J, et al. Evolution and revolution in child psychiatry: ADHD as a disorder of adaptation. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1997;36(12):1672-1681.
9. Williams J, Taylor E. The evolution of hyperactivity, impulsivity and cognitive diversity. *J R Soc Interface*. 2006;3(8):399-413.

10. Arcos-Burgos M, Acosta MT. Tuning major gene variants conditioning human behavior: the anachronism of ADHD. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2007;17(3):234-238.
11. Le Cunff AL. Distractibility and impulsivity in ADHD as an evolutionary mismatch of high trait curiosity. *Evol Psychol Sci.* 2024;10:282-297.
12. Swanepoel A, Music G, Launer J, Reiss MJ. How evolutionary thinking can help us to understand ADHD. *BJPsych Adv.* 2017;23(6):410-418.
13. Wakefield JC. The concept of mental disorder: on the boundary between biological facts and social values. *Am Psychol.* 1992;47(3):373-388.
14. Eisenberg DTA, Campbell B, Gray PB, Sorenson MD. Dopamine receptor genetic polymorphisms and body composition in undernourished pastoralists: an exploration of nutrition indices among nomadic and recently settled Ariaal men of northern Kenya. *BMC Evol Biol.* 2008;8:173.
15. Faraone SV, Doyle AE, Mick E, Biederman J. Meta-analysis of the association between the 7-repeat allele of the dopamine D4 receptor gene and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2001;158(7):1052-1057.
16. Gizer IR, Ficks C, Waldman ID. Candidate gene studies of ADHD: a meta-analytic review. *Hum Genet.* 2009;126(1):51-90.
17. Ding YC, Chi HC, Grady DL, Morishima A, Kidd JR, Kidd KK, et al. Evidence of positive selection acting at the human dopamine receptor D4 gene locus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2002;99(1):309-314.
18. Wang E, Ding YC, Flodman P, Kidd JR, Kidd KK, Grady DL, et al. The genetic architecture of selection at the human dopamine receptor D4 (DRD4) gene locus. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2004;74(5):931-944.
19. Harpending H, Cochran G. In our genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2002;99(1):10-12.
20. Chen C, Burton M, Greenberger E, Dmitrieva J. Population migration and the variation of dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) allele frequencies around the globe. *Evol Hum Behav.* 1999;20(5):309-324.
21. Matthews LJ, Butler PM. Novelty-seeking DRD4 polymorphisms are associated with human migration distance out-of-Africa after controlling for neutral population gene structure. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 2011;145(3):382-389.
22. Ebstein RP, Novick O, Umansky R, Priel B, Osher Y, Blaine D, et al. Dopamine D4 receptor (D4DR) exon III polymorphism associated with the human personality trait of Novelty Seeking. *Nat Genet.* 1996;12(1):78-80.
23. Benjamin J, Li L, Patterson C, Greenberg BD, Murphy DL, Hamer DH. Population and familial association between the D4 dopamine receptor gene and measures of Novelty Seeking. *Nat Genet.* 1996;12(1):81-84.
24. Munafò MR, Yalcin B, Willis-Owen SA, Flint J. Association of the dopamine D4 receptor (DRD4) gene and approach-related personality traits: meta-analysis and new data. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2008;63(2):197-206.
25. Swanson J, Oosterlaan J, Murias M, Schuck S, Flodman P, Spence MA, et al. Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder children with a 7-repeat allele of the dopamine receptor D4 gene have extreme behavior but normal performance on critical neuropsychological tests of attention. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2000;97(9):4754-4759.
26. Demontis D, Walters GB, Athanasiadis G, Walters R, Therrien K, Nielsen TT, et al. Genome-wide analyses of ADHD identify 27 risk loci, refine the genetic architecture and implicate several cognitive domains. *Nat Genet.* 2023;55(2):198-208.
27. Hills TT, Todd PM, Lazer D, Redish AD, Couzin ID; Cognitive Search Research Group. Exploration versus exploitation in space, mind, and society. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2015;19(1):46-54.
28. Addicott MA, Pearson JM, Sweitzer MM, Barack DL, Platt ML. A primer on foraging and the explore/exploit trade-off for psychiatry research. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2017;42(10):1931-1939.
29. Barack DL, Ludwig VU, Parodi F, Ahmed N, Brannon EM, Ramakrishnan A, et al. Attention deficits linked with proclivity to explore while foraging. *Proc R Soc B.* 2024;291(2022):20222584.
30. Van den Driessche C, Chevrier F, Cleeremans A, Sackur J. Lower attentional skills predict increased exploratory foraging patterns. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:10948.
31. Arildskov TW, Virring A, Thomsen PH, Østergaard SD. Testing the evolutionary advantage theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder traits. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2022;31(2):337-348.
32. Esteller-Cucala P, Maceda I, Børghlum AD, Demontis D, Faraone SV, Cormand B, et al. Genomic analysis of the natural history of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder using Neanderthal and ancient Homo sapiens samples. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:8622.
33. Hupfeld KE, Abagis TR, Shah P. Living “in the zone”: hyperfocus in adult ADHD. *Atten Defic Hyperact Disord.* 2019;11(2):191-208.
34. Ashinoff BK, Abu-Akel A. Hyperfocus: the forgotten frontier of attention. *Psychol Res.* 2021;85(1):1-19.
35. Hoogman M, Stolte M, Baas M, Kroesbergen E. Creativity and ADHD: a review of behavioral studies, the effect of psychostimulants and neural underpinnings. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2020;119:66-85.
36. Sedgwick JA, Merwood A, Asherson P. The positive aspects of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a qualitative investigation of successful adults with ADHD. *Atten Defic Hyperact Disord.* 2019;11(3):241-253.
37. Schippers LM, Horstman LI, van de Velde H, Pereira RR, Zinkstok J, Mostert JC, et al. A qualitative and quantitative study of self-reported positive characteristics of individuals with ADHD. *Front Psychiatry.* 2022;13:922788.
38. Verheul I, Block J, Burmeister-Lamp K, Thurik R, Tiemeier H, Turturea R. ADHD-like behavior and entrepreneurial intentions. *Small Bus Econ.* 2015;45(1):85-101.
39. Wiklund J, Patzelt H, Dimov D. Entrepreneurship and psychological disorders: how ADHD can be productively harnessed. *J Bus Ventur Insights.* 2016;6:14-20.
40. Wiklund J, Yu W, Tucker R, Marino LD. ADHD, impulsivity and entrepreneurship. *J Bus Ventur.* 2017;32(6):627-656.
41. Faraone SV, Banaschewski T, Coghill D, Zheng Y, Biederman J, Bellgrove MA, et al. The World Federation of ADHD International Consensus Statement: 208 evidence-based conclusions about the disorder. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2021;128:789-818.
42. Barkley RA, Fischer M, Smallish L, Fletcher K. Young adult outcome of hyperactive children: adaptive functioning in major life activities. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2006;45(2):192-202.
43. Morrow RL, Garland EJ, Wright JM, Maclure M, Taylor S, Dormuth CR. Influence of relative age on diagnosis and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. *CMAJ.* 2012;184(7):755-762.
44. Layton TJ, Barnett ML, Hicks TR, Jena AB. Attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder and month of school enrollment. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(22):2122-2130.
45. Sonuga-Barke E, Thapar A. The neurodiversity concept: is it helpful for clinicians and scientists? *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2021;8(7):559-561.
46. Goldstein S, Barkley RA. ADHD, hunting, and evolution: “just so” stories. *ADHD Rep.* 1998;6:1-4.
47. Liebenberg L. Persistence hunting by modern hunter-gatherers. *Curr Anthropol.* 2006;47(6):1017-1026.
48. Marlowe FW. Hunter-gatherers and human evolution. *Evol Anthropol.* 2005;14(2):54-67.
49. Thagaard MS, Faraone SV, Sonuga-Barke EJ, Østergaard SD. Empirical tests of natural selection-based evolutionary accounts of ADHD: a systematic review. *Acta Neuropsychiatr.* 2016;28(5):249-256.
50. Dein S. ADHD and evolutionary mismatch: a critical appraisal. *World Cult Psychiatry Res Rev.* 2024;19(1):17-28.

51. Brar G, Swanepoel A, O'Connell H, McFarland J. Evolutionary perspectives on ADHD. *Ir J Psychol Med*. 2025. Published online August 27, 2025.
52. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed., text revision (DSM-5-TR). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Publishing; 2022.
53. Larsson H, Anckarsäter H, Råstam M, Chang Z, Lichtenstein P. Childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder as an extreme of a continuous trait: a quantitative genetic study of 8,500 twin pairs. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2012;53(1):73-80.
54. Barkley RA. Behavioral inhibition, sustained attention, and executive functions: constructing a unifying theory of ADHD. *Psychol Bull*. 1997;121(1):65-94.
55. Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, Nigg JT, Faraone SV, Pennington BF. Validity of the executive function theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analytic review. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;57(11):1336-1346.
56. Nigg JT, Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, Sonuga-Barke EJS. Causal heterogeneity in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: do we need neuropsychologically impaired subtypes? *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;57(11):1224-1230.
57. Milioni ALV, Chaim TM, Cavallet M, de Oliveira NM, Annes M, Dos Santos B, et al. High IQ may “mask” the diagnosis of ADHD by compensating for deficits in executive functions in treatment-naïve adults with ADHD. *J Atten Disord*. 2017;21(6):455-464.
58. Akiskal HS, Mallya G. Criteria for the “soft” bipolar spectrum: treatment implications. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1987;23(1):68-73.
59. Akiskal HS, Akiskal KK, Haykal RF, Manning JS, Connor PD. TEMPS-A: progress towards validation of a self-rated clinical version of the Temperament Evaluation of the Memphis, Pisa, Paris, and San Diego Autoquestionnaire. *J Affect Disord*. 2005;85(1-2):3-16.
60. Rihmer Z, Akiskal KK, Rihmer A, Akiskal HS. Current research on affective temperaments. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2010;23(1):12-18.
61. Karam EG, Salamoun MM, Yeretdzian JS, Mneimneh ZN, Karam AN, Fayyad J, et al. The role of anxious and hyperthymic temperaments in mental disorders: a national epidemiologic study. *World Psychiatry*. 2010;9(2):103-110.
62. Kent L, Craddock N. Is there a relationship between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and bipolar disorder? *J Affect Disord*. 2003;73(3):211-221.
63. Asherson P, Young AH, Eich-Höchli D, Moran P, Porsdal V, Deberdt W. Differential diagnosis, comorbidity, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in relation to bipolar disorder or borderline personality disorder in adults. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2014;30(8):1657-1672.
64. Marangoni C, De Chiara L, Faedda GL. Bipolar disorder and ADHD: comorbidity and diagnostic distinctions. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2015;17(8):604.
65. Johnson SL. Mania and dysregulation in goal pursuit: a review. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2005;25(2):241-262.
66. Craske MG, Stein MB, Eley TC, Milad MR, Holmes A, Rapee RM, et al. Anxiety disorders. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2017;3:17024.
67. De Leo S, Lee SY, Braverman LE. Hyperthyroidism. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10047):906-918.
68. Friedman M, Rosenman RH. Association of specific overt behavior pattern with blood and cardiovascular findings. *JAMA*. 1959;169(12):1286-1296.
69. Friedman M, Rosenman RH. *Type A Behavior and Your Heart*. New York: Knopf; 1974.
70. Myrtek M. Meta-analyses of prospective studies on coronary heart disease, type A personality, and hostility. *Int J Cardiol*. 2001;79(2-3):245-251.
71. Chida Y, Steptoe A. The association of anger and hostility with future coronary heart disease: a meta-analytic review of prospective evidence. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53(11):936-946.